EXHIBIT 1

UNITED STATES of AMERICA

VS

METHODIST LE BONHEUR HEALTHCARE, et al.

30(b)(6)

MICHAEL PETRON

January 18, 2023



- 1 A For topic 2. And I see the same with respect to 2 topic 3.
- 3 Q Okay. And what do you understand that you are 4 testifying about today?
- A I understand that my testimony centers on the
- 6 summary nature of which I put together certain pieces of7 analysis related to this case.
- 8 Q What have you summarized in connection with this 9 case?
- 10 A Certain pieces of claims data.
- 11 Q What claims data have you summarized?
- 12 A I'll put it into two categories. West data and
- 13 Methodist data.
- 14 Q And what is the summary that you have prepared?
- 15 What is it summarizing, if you know?
- 16 A It's summarizing the data, so the data is
- 17 voluminous. I have taken that data and created summaries
- 18 of that data, and that's what it's done.
- 19 Q Okay. And do you have any understanding as to
- 20 what those summaries purport to show or purport to be?
- 21 A I mean, I do. I understand the methodology
- 22 behind which I created them, so yes.
- 23 Q But what is it that has been summarized? I
- 24 understand that you have summarized some Medicare claims,
- 25 but what's the scope -- or what is it that you have been

- 1 doesn't mean no. I just don't recall any.
- 2 Q Sure. Have you had conversations with counsel

Page 11

- 3 for Relators in this case?
- 4 A Not that I recall.
- 5 Q Did you -- for the three summaries that you
- 6 prepared, in what form did you -- do those summaries exist?
- 7 A Form. Can you be more specific? What do you 8 mean by form?
- 9 Q Sure. You noted that did you three different
- 10 summaries, correct?
- 11 A Correct.
- 12 Q So those summaries, are they -- is that an Excel
- 13 file? Is it a Word document report? Was there any report
- 14 prepared for the summaries that you conducted? And in what
- 15 form do they exist?
- 16 A So the document that you have there, that I have
- 17 in my folder that was the output is an Excel file, but the
- 18 Excel file is, again, a summary of work that was done in
- 19 another program.
- 20 Q And what program was it done in?
- 21 A SAS, S-A-S.
- 22 Q And what is SAS?
- 23 A SAS is a statistical analytical software that I
- 24 use frequently.
- 25 Q What is SAS used for?

- 1 asked to do that you're testifying about today?
- 2 A I have been asked to summarize, I think, two
- 3 sets of data that I've created three specific summaries
- 4 for. An outpatient summary, an inpatient summary related
- 5 to Methodist, bucket 1. And then what I would call a Part
- 6 B summary related to West.
- 7 Q So three summaries, in total, an outpatient and
- 8 inpatient summary for Methodist, and then you also did a
- 9 summary of data for the West Clinic?
- 10 A I did.
- 11 Q Okay. And how did you know what it was that you
- 12 were supposed to summarize?
- 13 A I was instructed by counsel.
- 14 Q Counsel for the United States?
- 15 A Correct.
- 16 Q Is that Ms. Sweet?
- 17 A Correct.
- 18 Q Have you had conversations with other counsel
- 19 for the United States beyond Ms. Sweet? And I don't want
- 20 to you go into what those conversations may have been, but
- 21 what I'm asking is, have you had conversations with other
- 22 counsel for the United States beyond Ms. Sweet?
- 23 A Related to this matter, right?
- 24 Q Right.
- 25 A I don't recall any. It doesn't mean -- it

- 1 A Tons and tons of things. I use it primarily for
- 2 data analysis. Statistics, sampling, some visualization 3 exercises.
- 4 Q And you used SAS in connection with the work
- 5 that you did in this case?
- 6 A Correct.
- 7 Q How did you use SAS to prepare the summaries
- 8 that you did for this case?
- 9 A SAS is a program that allows you to manipulate 10 and query data, so I used it as such.
- 11 Q And what data did you manipulate and query in
- 12 connection with this case?
- 13 A Those two buckets that I spoke about earlier,
- 14 the West data and the Methodist data.
- 15 Q How did you come to have that data?
- 16 A Counsel provided the data.
- 17 Q That's Ms. Sweet?
- 18 A Correct.
- 19 Q In what format was that data provided?
- 20 A I believe the original formats were multiple
- 21 Excel files.
- 22 Q Okay. And what did those Excel files contain --
- 23 or did they contain Medicare claims data?
- 24 A Correct.
- 25 Q Was it Medicare claims data for Methodist and

33(2)(3)

Page 13

1 West?

2 A Correct.

- 3 Q Okay. You took the Medicare claims data
- 4 relating to Methodist and West that you received from
- 5 counsel for the government, and then put that into SAS,
- 6 S-A-S?
- 7 A Correct.
- 8 Q Is SAS software that anyone can have access to
- 9 and use?
- 10 A If they pay SAS, they can, yes. I don't think
- 11 SAS would turn away your business, but it's not free ware.
- 12 So it's not something that you can just go online and use.
- 13 Q It's software that can be obtained if you pay
- 14 for it?
- 15 A Correct.
- 16 Q And then for -- if someone has SAS, then is it
- 17 pretty straightforward, in terms of how to put in this data
- 18 and then guery it and manipulate it?
- 19 A I'm sorry, you'll have to be -- define pretty
- 20 straightforward for me. Because that's -- I mean, that's
- 21 in the eye of the beholder, right?
- 22 Q Yeah, I'm not -- I'm not familiar with SAS. And
- 23 so I was just trying to get an understanding of, is it
- 24 something that any person can use, or is it something that
- 25 you need some specialized knowledge to know how to use SAS?

- 1 (Exhibit No. 250 was marked for identification.)
- 2 BY MR. ROARK:
- 3 Q And, Mr. Petron, what is Exhibit 250?
- 4 A Exhibit 250 is the summary of my analyses. And

Page 15

- 5 I also want to be clear for the record, too, I speak in the
- 6 first person when I'm testifying, but I do have folks at my
- 7 company that assist me in doing this analysis. And they
- 8 did in this case as well.
- 9 Q For the analysis that you did that's Exhibit
- 10 250, you're saying that this isn't something you did
- 11 completely by yourself?
- 12 A Correct.

20

- 13 Q Who assisted you in preparing Exhibit 250?
- 14 A Two individuals, Tom Vitlo, V-I-T-L-O, and
- 15 Michael Woosley, W-O-O-S-L-E-Y.
- 16 Q And who do you work for?
- 17 A I work for a company named Stout, S-T-O-U-T.
- 18 Q How long have you worked for Stout?
- 19 A Since February 1st, 2014.
 - Q What is your position with Stout?
- 21 A I have two titles, two positions. I'm a
- 22 co-president of our disputes, claims, and investigations
- 23 practice, and I'm a managing director. So one of them is a
- 24 leadership role, and one of them is a client service role.
- 25 Q Okay. And what is it that you -- what are the

- 1 A You would need to understand how to use SAS to 2 use SAS.
- 3 Q Okay.
- 4 A And just to be clear, you couldn't -- if you,
- 5 for instance, Mr. Roark, don't know SAS, you could not sit
- 6 down today with no knowledge, no book, no information, and
- 7 it be present. You couldn't do that. It's not in the same
- 8 vein of a Microsoft Office product, where there are buttons
- 9 and things. It's a coding -- it's a coding exercise.
- 10 Q And hypothetically, I may even struggle with the
- 11 Microsoft Office products, but that's neither here nor
- 12 there.
- 13 A I'm not surprised to hear that.
- 14 Q How is it that you know how to use SAS to query
- 15 or manipulate data?
- 16 A I'll give you the short answer. I have an
- 17 undergraduate degree in economics, double major in
- 18 economics and statistics. I have one master's degree in
- 19 statistics. And I have used SAS since I entered
- 20 undergraduate school, so I've been using it for 25 years.
- 21 Q Okay. What was the output that you have from
- 22 your use of SAS in this case?
- 23 A That exhibit.
- 24 Q Okay. Let me hand you what I've marked as
- 25 Exhibit 250.

- Page 16
 1 services that you perform on behalf of Stout -- for Stout
 2 for clients?
- 3 A I perform a variety of things related to either
- 4 accounting, finance, statistics, data. I would serve as a
- 5 summary witness, like I am today. I can serve as an expert
- 6 witness on a variety of topics. I help companies through
- 7 internal investigations. I can -- and have done
- 8 remediation plans, monitorships. Any sort of forensic
- 9 accounting, data analytics. It runs a breadth of different10 things.
- 11 Q And Mr. Vitlo and Mr. Woosley also work for
- 12 Stout?
- 13 A Correct.
- 14 Q And you referenced your background and training
- 15 that allows you to use SAS to query or manipulate data. Do
- 16 they have similar backgrounds and experience that allows
- 17 them to do that as well?
- 18 A They do.
- 19 Q What did Mr. Vitlo and Mr. Woosley do, in
- 20 particular, in terms of preparing Exhibit 250?
- 21 A Well, I know they were responsible for uploading
- 22 the data into SAS. They would have been, under my
- 23 direction, creating the analysis, so I would say the
- 24 day-to-day coding of the analysis.
- 25 I would then review the analysis and the output,

- 1 and you know, it's an iterative process. And so there
- 2 would be some back and forth there. I don't remember
- 3 precisely who took the information from SAS and created
- 4 these documents, but I was definitely involved in the
- 5 creation of the documents.
- 6 Q You supervised the work that Mr. Vitlo and
- 7 Mr. Woosley did?
- 8 A I did.
- 9 Q When you say that they would have handled the
- 10 day-to-day coding, what coding would go have been involved
- 11 in preparing this analysis?
- 12 A All of the coding, right? So it's not -- again,
- 13 SAS is not an Office product, so you don't point and click.
- 14 You type a code. So in order to do anything with the data,
- 15 you have to type code. And so they would be primarily in
- 16 the day-to-day operations of typing that code to the
- 17 specifications that we need.
- 18 Q And is that an example of what you said, to be
- 19 able to use SAS, you have to have knowledge and experience
- 20 to how to use the product, which would include knowing how
- 21 to -- what codes to enter?
- 22 A Right. SAS -- SAS is our -- is our way to
- 23 efficiently handle lots of data. I'm assuming you'll
- 24 eventually pull up the Excel files. You could get to the
- 25 same answers using the Excel files, and pointing and

- Page 19 1 gets to this ultimate answer. And so we try to describe
- 2 what that coding looks like to a non-coder in the footnotes
- 3 in the sources of the exhibits.
- 4 Q All right. And that's -- what's listed as the
- 5 notes and sources of Exhibit 250?
- 6 A Correct.
- 7 Q All right. And is Exhibit 250 -- I think it's a
- 8 six-page document. Is this the report or output that you
- 9 prepared for this case?
- 10 A This is what was prepared for this case, yes.
- 11 Q Okay. For purposes of your testifying here
- 12 today as the 30(b)(6) witness, is there any other report or
- 13 summary that you prepared that you'll be relying on beyond
- 14 Exhibit 250?
- 15 **A No.**
- 16 Q And you talked about earlier that government
- 17 counsel provided Microsoft Excel claims files to you for
- 18 purposes of the analysis, correct?
- 19 A Correct.
- 20 Q And I want to understand whether there is --
- 21 what other information from any other sources you may have
- 22 used in conducting the analysis. Was it necessary for you
- 23 to rely on other sources, beyond the claims information
- 24 that the government provided?
- 25 A No.

Page 18

- 1 clicking and sorting and filtering. That, to me, is not
- 2 the most efficient way to do it. So SAS is just our way in
- 3 which to analyze the data. One of numerous ways. There
- $4\ \ \text{are many, many, many different types of software that you}$
- 5 can use.
- 6 Q Okay. And what specifically would have been
- 7 coded to develop the analysis that is -- we see in Exhibit
- 8 250?
- 9 A I'm sorry, can you be a little -- can you ask
- 10 that a different way?
- 11 Q Could you explain in more detail what Mr. Vitlo
- 12 and Mr. Woosley would have done to create the analysis
- 13 that's Exhibit 250?
- 14 A So I guess the steps of the process are, we get
- 15 the data in Microsoft Excel version. There is a process of
- 16 importing that data into SAS. There is a process of
- 17 analyzing that data in SAS. And then a third process of
- 18 getting the output from SAS. So each of those three
- 19 things, I would say major steps, there are substeps. You
- 20 know, there are subtasks that have to be done.
- 21 Q All right. Was there -- would there have been a
- 22 query -- what would have caused the particular output to
- 23 emerge for the analysis that was done here?
- 24 A They -- so step 2 is what we're talking about,
- 25 right? There would be a variety of coded language that

- 1 Q All right. And just to establish for the
- 2 record, have you spoken with anyone from West or Methodist
- 3 in preparing this analysis?
- 4 A I have not.

7

- 5 Q Have you or Mr. Vitlo or Mr. Woosley ever worked
- 6 for Methodist or West Clinic?
 - A Not that I know of.
- 8 Q Were you involved in the underlying submission
- 9 of any of the claims that are summarized in Exhibit 250?
- 10 A You -- to clarify, the underlying submission,
- 11 meaning the medical -- the act of the provider submitting
- 12 to Medicare the claim in the first instance?
- 13 Q Correct.
- 14 A No, I was not. At least not that I recall.
- 15 Q You talked about that you've worked for Stout
- 16 since 2014. And that -- have you worked -- whether it was
- 17 for Stout or not, have you generally worked in the same
- 18 industry since you've completed your education?
- 19 A I have.
- 20 Q Have you ever worked for a Medicare
- 21 administrative contractor?
- 22 A I have not.
- 23 Q Were you involved in the government's processing
- 24 of any of the claims that are summarized in Exhibit 250?
- 25 A No.

9

Page 29

- 1 I didn't create any report. This is the extent of what we 2 created.
- 3 Q And you're saying this is not a report? I may 4 be getting hung up on the words.
- 5 A When I hear the -- well, when I hear -- when I'm 6 sitting in a deposition, and I hear the word "report," I 7 think of a Rule 26 disclosure. So I would not consider 8 this a Rule 26 disclosure.
- 9 Q Okay. What word would you use to refer to
- 10 Exhibit 250, then?
- 11 A I would refer to it, in the legal parlance, as a 12 1006 exhibit.
- 13 Q You would refer to it as a summary?
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 Q So would it be fair to say that the summary
- 16 includes all paid claims from 2012 through 2018, where one
- 17 of the 86 physicians is listed as the attending physician?
- 18 A I would -- for the first page of 250, for
- 19 outpatient coded claims, I would agree with that, with the
- 20 small caveat that I know that only 80 of the 86 are in 21 there.
- 22 Q Okay.
- 23 A So whether or not the six that are not, there
- 24 are claims that I don't know about, I can't speak to that.
- 25 I can only speak to the information I have.

- 1 the report?
- A Right. I reviewed the data. I reviewed the
- 3 code. The output. So again, think about the three steps,

Page 31

- 4 right? I reviewed those three steps. I had discussions
- 5 with counsel. I think I looked at the -- I think I looked 6 at the original complaint, too.
- 7 Q Okay. Anything beyond that?
- 8 A Not that I recall.
 - Q And you said that you reviewed the interrogatory
- 10 answers. Did you assist in preparing any of the
- 11 interrogatory answers?
- 12 A Not that I recall. I mean, I believe this
- 13 information was put into an interrogatory.
- 14 Q Okay
- 15 A So I guess the answer to the question is yes.
- 16 Q Okav
- 17 A But it's not like I was narrative in writing
- 18 interrogatories.
- 19 Q Now, you're aware that the information -- the
- 20 numbers that you provided in Exhibit 250 then went into the
- 21 government's interrogatory answers in this case?
- 22 A That's my understanding.
- 23 Q Exhibit 250 is called summary of damages. Did
- 24 you do anything to conclude or make any kind of
- 25 determination about whether a particular claim would

Page 30

- 1 Q Okay. Are you being compensated for the -- your 2 testimony here today?
- 3 A lam.
- 4 Q And are you being compensated for the work that
- 5 you have done on this matter?
- 6 **A Yes**.
- 7 Q And you referenced earlier reviewing -- that you
- 8 may have reviewed interrogatory answers in this lawsuit.
- 9 What did you do to prepare for your deposition today?
- 10 A I reviewed some interrogatory responses. I
- 11 reviewed our work. I had a discussion with counsel. And
- $12\,$ I've had a few discussions with the two individuals that
- 13 are on my staff.
- 14 Q Okay. When you say that you reviewed your work,
- 15 would that have entailed reviewing anything beyond Exhibit
- 16 250?
- 17 A I reviewed the code again.
- 18 Q Where did you go to review the code?
- 19 A In the computer, like, you know, where this
- 20 document is for me, so in a file structure, all that stuff.
- 21 Q And is that something that you would have pulled
- 22 up through SAS?
- 23 A Correct.
- 24 Q Okay. So you reviewed not just the report
- 25 itself, but the underlying work that went into preparing

- 1 constitute False Claims Act damages or not, or did you just
- 2 calculate the dollar values of claims according to the
- 3 parameters that you had set forth earlier?
- 4 MS. SWEET: Objection. Are you asking him
- 5 whether he made a legal conclusion about the False Claims
- 6 Act damages in this case?
- 7 MR. ROARK: His report is called summary of 8 damages.
- o damagos.
- 9 BY MR. ROARK:
- 10 Q And I'm just trying to understand why,
- 11 Mr. Petron, you called it summary of damages. From what
- 12 you testified, it sounded like that you did a summary of
- 13 claims. And I just want to make sure I understand what
- 14 determinations you made in connection with this case.
- 15 MS. SWEET: If -- I mean, if you can answer the
- 16 question without providing a legal conclusion or giving
- 17 advice of counsel, I think we are pretty clear, he has
- 18 looked at the claims data and this report is a summary of
- 19 the claims data. He is not testifying on causation. He is
- 20 not testifying on any of the legal elements for False
- 21 Claims Act violations. And it says it was prepared at the
- 22 direction of counsel. So I think you know the answer to
- 23 your question. If you want to rephrase it in a little
- 24 different way, that would -- may be helpful.
- 25 BY MR. ROARK:

29..32

- 1 Q I don't know if I can rephrase it. It's that
- 2 your report is called summary of damages. And my question
- 3 is, did you do anything, beyond totaling claims, to reach
- 4 any kind of conclusions about whether amounts would be
- 5 damages or not in this case?
- 6 MS. SWEET: Objection to form.
- 7 THE WITNESS: Again, what is or is not a damage
- 8 pursuant to some liability theory is a legal conclusion
- 9 that I did not draw.
- 10 BY MR. ROARK:
- 11 Q Okay.
- 12 A I would say it's -- I had to take a few extra
- 13 steps with respect to the West data to get to the analysis
- 14 that I've presented here. Again, whether or not that's
- 15 damages or not, that's not -- that's not my realm. I
- 16 understand the distinction. I have served as a damages
- 17 expert, but I am merely presenting facts.
- 18 Q You're presenting summary totals of claims that
- 19 you calculated according to certain parameters?
- 20 A Correct.
- 21 Q All right. And I touched on this before, but
- 22 let me ask this one more time, just to make sure I
- 23 understand your answer. Beyond the government providing
- 24 you with the names of the 86 West physicians, were you
- 25 provided with any other information relating to those

- Q Okay. And is that consistent with your
- 2 understanding, that you are testifying today about the date
- 3 and amount of payments made to Defendants for the submitted

Page 35

- 4 claims?
- 5 A I am. I think the nuance I draw in my mind is
- 6 the words before that, right, that you assert a false --
- 7 Q Right.
- 8 A I'm not asserting anything.
- 9 Q Okay
- 10 A So whether one of these parties is asserting it,
- 11 that's for -- not for me.
- 12 Q Okav.
- 13 A But I am obviously talking about the date, the
- 14 amount, and the payments made to the Defendants for
- 15 submitted claims, for sure.
- 16 Q Okay. And then the next clause, the factual
- 17 basis and methodology by which you assert any claim to be
- 18 false, you're not testifying about that, correct?
- 19 A Not to the extent that it falls outside of the
- 20 parameters that I've discussed.
- 21 Q You're not getting into whether payments that
- 22 Methodist made to West, whether that resulted in the
- 23 submission of false claims or not?
- 24 A I don't believe that's a question for me, no.
 - MS. SWEET: Brian, I can state for the record,

Page 34

25

14

- 1 physicians?
- 2 A The claims data.
- 3 Q Okay. And anything beyond the claims data?
- 4 A Not that I recall.
- 5 Q I mean, information relating to what particular
- 6 type of doctor that the particular physician may have been?
- 7 A I believe some of that's in the claims data.
- 8 And I obviously had some under -- general understanding of
- 9 it from reviewing the complaint. But I think the spirit of
- 10 your question is, no, I did not -- I don't have any other
- 11 independent research or any other information.
- 12 Q Right. Or did it factor into how you did the
- 13 analysis, how the doctors were compensated by West, or what
- 14 services the doctors had performed or not?
- MS. SWEET: Objection, asked and answered. And
- 16 the first part, objection to form.
- 17 THE WITNESS: No.
- 18 BY MR. ROARK:
- 19 Q Okay. If you go back to Exhibit 249. Under --
- 20 on page 3, topic number 2. On topic number 2, if you go
- 21 down to the second part of the third line, I do understand
- 22 that today you're testifying about the date and amount of
- 23 any payment made to Defendants for the submitted claim. Do
- 24 you see that?
- 25 A Correct. I see that, yes.

- Page 36
 1 he is not going to be talking about causation. That is a
- 2 question of law, and he is not here to talk about
- 3 causation. He is not here to talk about legal conclusions.
- 4 He is here to speak about the facts underlying
- 5 the claims data that was submitted. That's it. It's a
- 6 very narrow topic. He's -- I think the law is clear on the
- 7 measure of damages in a kickback case as tainted claims.
- 8 These are the tainted claims that the United States is
- 9 alleging. He is not talking about legal conclusions about
- 10 causation, or anything else having to do with that.
- 11 MR. ROARK: Okay. I'm still going to need to
- 12 ask him questions about -- so that we have it on the record
- 13 from him, on what he is testifying about.
 - MS. SWEET: Sure.
- 15 BY MR. ROARK:
- 16 Q Mr. Petron, the next clause is, the persons who
- 17 are responsible for paying such claims. I take it, you're
- 18 not offering testimony about who the underlying persons
- 19 were who processed the claims at issue here?
- 20 MS. SWEET: Are you asking him who at Medicare
- 21 processed each and every one of these thousands and
- 22 thousands of claims, or are you asking if it was just
- 23 Medicare?
- 24 BY MR. ROARK:
- 25 Q I'm asking if -- I'm trying to understand what

- 1 Q As part of the summary that you prepared, this
- 2 is the main source data that you relied on, correct?
- 3 A Correct.
- 4 Q Do you have -- as part of preparing your
- 5 summary, do you have any understanding as to how this data
- 6 was collected in the form where it could be provided to
- 7 you?
- 8 A I do, yes.
- 9 Q And what's your understanding in that regard?
- 10 A So the -- I'll just speak about this document,
- 11 because I think different pieces of it get created by
- 12 different people.
- 13 So my understanding of the process is, the
- 14 government, the investigating agency, whether that be an
- 15 OIG somewhere, DOJ itself, some other stakeholder can put
- 16 in what's called an RFI, a Request for Information. That
- 17 Request for Information, which is identified there in row
- 18 five, RFI-210816-00008, would be a request to, in this
- 19 particular instance, SGS, SafeGuard Services, to produce
- 20 data pursuant to whatever parameters that request outlined.
- 21 In this -- so you just stop me whenever you want me to
- 22 stop, so -- because I'll just -- I'll just keep talking.
- 23 Q Let's stop on -- it says -- at the bottom, it
- 24 says that this is prepared by SGS data analyst DP. What is
- 25 SGS?

1 to?

12

- 2 A I believe it's referring to an individual.
- 3 Q Do you know who that individual is?
- 4 A I do not.
- 5 Q But you think DP is the initials of the actual
- 6 person who pulled the data?
- 7 A Correct.
- 8 Q Did you -- in connection with the summary that
- 9 you have prepared here, did you speak with anyone at SGS as

Page 47

- 10 to how they pulled the data?
- 11 A I did not.
 - Q I take it, you didn't supervise the creation of
- 13 the CMS claims files?
- 14 A I did not.
- 15 Q Did you, Mr. Petron, take any steps to verify
- 16 whether SGS pulled the data correctly?
- 17 A I did not
- 18 Q Do you know, one way or the other, whether SGS
- 19 pulled the data correctly?
- 20 A I haven't audited their process, so I can't say
- 21 that I know with certainly, one way or the other.
- 22 Q And you noted we looked at -- you referred to
- 23 row 5 that refers to the RFI, Request for Information?
- 24 A Yes
- 25 Q Over on the left-hand side, what's WMM or UCM?

Page 46

- 1 A It is a contractor.
- 2 Q And what does SGS stand for?
- 3 A SafeGuard Services.
- 4 Q How is it that you know that?
- 5 A Years of working.
- 6 Q Okav. What does SafeGuard Services do?
- 7 A SafeGuard Services -- I believe SafeGuard -- is
- 8 it a MAC or a PSC. I forget. I think SafeGuard is a ZPIC
- $9\,$ or a PSC, so they have a function -- I'm sure that a person
- 10 from Medicare would say I have this all wrong. But my
- 11 general understanding of this is that SafeGuard Services
- general understanding of this is that SaleGuard Services
- 12 plays the role of, in general, sort of fraud prevention and
- 13 detection and investigation.
- 14 They are divided into zones across the country.
- 15 And so they are responsible for assisting law enforcement
- 16 and other stakeholder agencies in doing their sort of main
- 17 functions post -- not always post adjudication, but in
- 18 their main sort of fraud, waste, and abuse work.
- 19 Q So SGS is a government contractor?
- 20 A It is.
- 21 Q Is it your understanding that SGS pulled the CMS
- 22 claims files that we're looking at in Exhibit 253?
- 23 A That's my understanding.
- 24 Q It says at the bottom, prepared by SGS data
- 25 analyst DP. Do you know what data analyst DP is referring

- Page 48 To be honest, I do not -- not that I'm never not
- 2 honest, but I forget what those acronyms stand for. I can
- 3 tell you what I think they mean, though, which is the first
- 4 number, I believe, is the internal SGS tracking code. And
- 5 then the second number is their version to track the RFI.
- 6 So you'll see that first at WMM number. I believe that's
- 7 the number that's then on the electronic files themselves,
- 8 so I think it's an internal SGS mechanism to -- for their
- 9 work streams.
- 10 Q And is the way that you know that from your
- 11 experience having worked with data like this before?
- 12 A Exactly.
- 13 Q At the top, under source statement, it says,
- 14 claim records included in this extract are those that were
- 15 made available to us by CMS OnePI Portal All Claims
- 16 Universe. Where it says, made available to us, do you know
- 17 who the "us" is?

24 assumption.

- 18 A I assume the "us" refers to SGS.
- 19 Q And I guess, if it's not an assumption, do you
- 20 know, one way or the other, who the "us" is here?
- 21 A I guess, technically, I don't know. It's my
- 22 belief that the "us" is SGS, because I have some
- 23 understanding of what the CMS OnePI Portal is. But it's an
- 25 Q What is the CMS OnePI Portal?

- 1 A It is the mechanism by which SGS and others
- 2 accesses the Medicare claims data.
- 3 Q And what do you mean when you say a mechanism by 4 which they access it?
- 5 A I used that word particularly. It's a very --
- 6 it's a complicated process, but -- how much time do you
- 7 want to spend on this? It's the way that -- it's the way
- 8 that people can access the Medicare claims data.
- 9 Q When you say people, I mean, is it something --
- 10 could I access the Medicare claims data through the CMS
- 11 OnePl Portal?
- 12 A Theoretically, given, you know, permissions,
- 13 right, if you had a reason to have permission to that
- 14 portal, then, yes, you could. You, as a private citizen,
- 15 with no access, you could not.
- 16 Q Is it another specialized kind of software, like
- 17 the SAS software you mentioned before?
- 18 A No. Well, this is where it gets complicated.
- 19 The portal is -- OnePI is a front-end interface that I
- 20 actually believe it sits on SAS, to be all things, but this
- 21 is why it gets super complicated.
- 22 Q Well, let me ask -- I think I'm following that
- 23 it's -- it would be complicated to explain in very much
- 24 detail.
- 25 MS. SWEET: How about let's ask him -- I'm okay

- 1 include all claims or is it some subset of claims?
- 2 A So the portal, as the name implies, is a --
- 3 let's just call it -- it's a pipe, okay? And the pipe
- 4 accesses the data. And the data that the pipe can get at
- 5 is all claims, I believe -- and when I say all claims, I
- 6 mean, let's just stick to what's at issue here, which is 7 Part A and Part B.
- 8 It is all inpatient, outpatient Part A and Part
- 9 B, to my understanding. And I believe it dates back to
- 10 2006, but I could be mistaken. They are constantly --
- 11 there is archival processes. I forget exactly what you can
- 12 is get at with respect to the timing of when a claim is in
- 13 there, but it's everything.
- 14 Q For Exhibit 253 that was prepared by SGS, what
- 15 is the date that this data was prepared?
- 16 A You know, it's not on here, but I believe it
- 17 is -- so looking at Exhibit 251, if I'm looking at my
- 18 version of -- call it Exhibit 251, I believe the date
- 19 modified is the date of the pull of the data. I don't
- 20 recall when that is, but I -- my memory is, it's back in
- 21 2021.
- 22 Q Okay.
- 23 A 2021 sometime.
- 24 Q Okay. But it's not listed on Exhibit 253
- 25 itself?

Page 50

- 1 with you asking him if he has ever personally accessed the
- 2 OnePI All Claims Universe in connection with the Methodist
- 3 case, personally. If he hasn't, then let's move on.
- 4 BY MR. ROARK:
- 5 Q Did you -- and I think you've covered -- you
- 6 were not involved in pulling or supervising the pull of the
- 7 CMS data files. Is that right?
- 8 A That's right.
- 9 Q Okay. But you did use and rely on these data
- 10 files in preparing your summary, right?
- 11 A I did.
- 12 Q So let me ask, then, for the CMS OnePI Portal,
- 13 for that data that you used, what claims are included in 14 it?
- 15 MS. SWEET: You mean --
- 16 MR. ROARK: And, Kara, let me -- I'm going to
- 17 stop you. I think that's a -- unless you want to object to
- 18 the question, I think it's a fair question to ask him.
- 19 BY MR. ROARK:
- 20 Q What claims are included in the OnePI Portal?
- 21 A So what claims are included in Exhibit 253 or
- 22 what claims are in the portal?
- 23 Q What claims are in the portal? If the
- 24 information for Exhibit 253 was being taken out of the
- 25 portal, I'm trying to get an understanding of, does it

- 1 A I don't see it, and that actually somewhat
- 2 surprises me.
- 3 Q Where it says -- under the source statement, it
- 4 says, it is important to note that the database is
- 5 periodically updated to reflect activity over time. What
- 6 do you understand that to mean?
- 7 A What the words mean together, which is the
- 8 database, i.e. the Medicare claims data, is updated.
- 9 Q It can continue to change as claims are paid or 10 adjusted?
- 11 A Or submitted, right. I mean, so the database is
- 12 -- it's not a production database, as in it's where the
- 13 adjudication process is happening. But it is a repository
- 14 that is updated, based upon that adjudication process.
- 15 Q It refers to -- the source statement refers to
- 16 the time frame, the billing provider NPI, the billing
- 17 provider name, the attending provider NPI, the attending
- 18 provider name. Do you know what guery SGS used to pull
- 19 back this data?
- 20 A I have not, with my own eyes, seen their OnePI
- 21 query, but I have a very good understanding, based upon my
- 22 own work of what they did to get this data, yes.
- 23 Q You've got a good understanding of what query
- 24 they would have used?
- 25 A Yes.

Page 52

- And what query would they have used? 1 Q
- 2 They would have used a query with this criteria. Α
- 3 Okay. It lists that they queried for 66
- 4 attending providers. Do you see that?
- I just noticed something. Can I pause that
- 6 question? I know you want me to answer the questions, but
- 7 just while it's fresh in my mind. I think the data was
- 8 pulled on August 13th, 2021.
- 9 Okay. Where is that?
- 10 So if you look at row 8 in the time frame, you
- 11 see dates of service, or DOS, right, which is 1-11 to 2012,
- 12 and then paid dates. So it's my understanding that the
- 13 general practice in such a request as this would be to get
- 14 the paid dates all the way through to the most recent paid
- 15 date that you could. And so that's that date.
- 16 And if we looked at all of these documents,
- 17 these source statements from the other files, if they all
- 18 said August 13th, 2021, I would feel very comfortable
- 19 saying that that's the day it was pulled.
- Q Okay. Thank you. To go back to my previous
- 21 question, you said that you think you're familiar with what
- 22 query SGS would have used. And then I asked, this refers
- 23 to the guery being limited to 66 attending providers. Do
- 24 you see that?
- A I do. 25

- Page 55 1 list of 90 and what was available. But I don't know that,
- 2 because I haven't gone in and actually looked at their
- 3 query. I don't have the ability to do that.
- Okay. What's the difference, then, in where
- 5 your Exhibit 250 refers to 86, but the CMS claims file
- 6 refers to 66? What's the reason for the difference in the
- 7 two numbers?
- A I will speculate. I mean, I already did
- 9 speculate. It's that in this time period, all of them
- 10 weren't billing as attending physicians at Methodist.
- 11 We're talking about the list of 90 NPIs the government
- 12 provided to me, it is, in my mind -- again, I'm in the
- 13 realm of speculation -- I highly doubt that West had 90
- 14 doctors from January 1st, 2011 through December 31st, 2021.
- 15 The same 90. It never changed. That's not the way this
- 16 works. So my speculation is that during this time period,
- 17 '11 to '12, the data only had 66 of the 90.
- 18 MS. SWEET: When you get to a good point, I
- 19 actually need a break.
- 20 BY MR. ROARK:
- 21 Q Let me ask a couple more questions. And if this
- 22 is going to be a longer answer, just tell me and we'll
- 23 stop. I'm not following that even though the CMS -- the
- 24 CMS claims file is a broader time period than the shorter
- 25 time period in your report, correct?

- You referenced -- your summary references that 2 you used 86 providers. Did you use 20 additional providers
- 3 beyond what SGS used in its query?
- 4 All right. You'll correct me. I'm going to
- 5 answer what I think you're asking, okay? So I didn't query
- 6 the data. So when you say, I used something, I didn't use
- 7 the list of 86, which is the last three pages of Exhibit
- 8 250, to do anything. So that wasn't my role.
- 9 Part of the reason I included that list was, is
- 10 I went through these files. I believe some of these files,
- 11 it doesn't even say 66 attending. It says, list of
- 12 attending or list of NPIs. It's very ambiguous. Let's say
- 13 that. And so I wanted to make sure I had the list, so that
- 14 I could compare, and make sure I understood what was
- 15 happening. I don't -- I'll tell you what I believe and
- 16 what I know. They're different things, I guess.
- 17 What I believe is, that SGS was given a list of,
- 18 I think, 90 providers. When they queried this time period,
- 19 we're talking about an expansive time period, right, 10
- 20 years, right? That for this time period, 2011 through
- 21 2012, the guery that was run against the 90 NPIs only
- 22 resulted with of 66 NPIs during the time period.
- So when they say the attending provider NPI, 66 24 attending providers, I don't believe there was ever a list
- 25 of 66. I believe that's a function of the output of the

- Page 56 Are you saying the produced documents, or are
- 2 you saying the data that the data was -- are you saying --
- 3 the OnePl Portal has access to a broader set of data, or
- 4 are you saying the data sets contain a longer time period
- 5 than what I documented?
- Q I think I'm following. So you're explaining
- 7 that Exhibit 253 is limited to 2011 to 2012. And that over
- 8 that period of time, there may have only been 66 attending
- 9 providers, correct?
- 10 A I am speculating that the result of the query
- 11 where there was a query of 90 NPIs in the attending
- 12 physician field only returned 66 NPIs. I could be wrong
- 13 about that. The government could have provided SGS and
- 14 said, we, the government, know that between 2011 and 2012,
- 15 these 66 are there. That is unclear to me. And I'm
- 16 speculating about what the result, and how that happened. 17
- MR. ROARK: Okay. Let's stop here and take a 18 break.
- 19 VIDEO OPERATOR: Off the record at 10:37. This
- 20 is the end of media unit number 1.
- 21 (Recess.)
- 22 VIDEO OPERATOR: On the record at 10:54. This
- 23 is the beginning of media unit 2 in the testimony of
- 24 Michael Petron.
- 25 BY MR. ROARK:

- 1 Q Right, I understand that there is numerous
- 2 things that could be pulled from the data. And what my
- 3 question is, is one of the things that you have pulled to
- 4 date, not in the future, in terms of something you could
- 5 do, but is something that you have pulled to date, the
- 6 number of claims at issue here?
 - A I don't believe I pulled it in any way.
- 8 Q Do you know if SGS did anything in its analysis
- 9 as it built its query to make sure that the same claim
- 10 wasn't repeated multiple times?
- 11 A It's my understanding that they took all final
- 12 action claims, so that that would not be an issue.
- 13 Q Okay. But do you know specifically what QC or
- 14 not that SGS would have done on the claims files it pulled
- 15 together?
- 16 A I have not spoken with anybody at SGS or audited
- 17 their process about what they do.
- 18 Q For the physicians -- for the West physicians
- 19 that you included in your analysis, is it correct that your
- 20 analysis would have included any claims associated with
- 21 that physician for the entirety of 2012 until 2018?
- 22 A I believe so, as long as it was billed pursuant
- 23 to the West billing NPI, right? Yes, billing provider NPI.
- 24 If a West physician were to bill under a different NPI,
- 25 that data would not be here.

- 1 restriction on any dates.
- 2 Q And you just relied on what SGS pulled, and you
- 3 did not further restrict it by dates, right?
- 4 A Correct. I did not. Yeah, I relied upon them,
- 5 and I did not further restrict it by dates.
- 6 Q But SGS did pull data going back to 2011, and
- 7 you didn't use that data, right?
- 8 A I did not use any claims from 2011.
- 9 Q Right.
- 10 A It's semantical. I had to use that data,
- 11 though, because it was in the combined data set with 2012.
- 12 Q And SGS's claims files also included claims for
- 13 services performed after 2018, correct, and you called
- 14 those out?
- 15 A I did.
- 16 Q But if there was a doctor who didn't start
- 17 working for West until 2014, but they were in the Memphis
- 18 market, they were referring claims to Methodist in 2012 and
- 19 2013, that doctor's claims would be captured in the totals
- 20 that you did, correct?
- 21 A That is theoretically possible. I don't know
- 22 that for a fact, but that is -- the way I understand the
- 23 data was pulled, that is possible.
- 24 Q Did the government provide to you the dates in
- 25 which the specific physicians worked for West?

Page 90

- 1 Q Got it. And as you talked about before, it
- 2 would not have included claims prior to 2012, correct?
- 3 A Well, I have the data prior to 2012, but I did
- 4 not include it in my summary.
- 5 Q And that's what I'm asking. Your summary does
- 6 not include data prior to 2012 or subsequent to 2018?
- 7 A It does not.
- 8 Q For the West physicians that you included, did
- 9 you limit it further, based on the date that the doctor
- 10 worked for West?
- 11 A If the doctor did not work for West, then they
- 12 shouldn't be in this data set.
- 13 Q What about the Methodist data set?
- 14 A The Methodist data set. They would be in there.
- 15 Q Okay.
- 16 A In that relevant time period, yes.
- 17 Q Right. So if there was a physician who was in
- 18 the Memphis market and was performing services or referring
- 19 claims to Methodist, prior to the time that they went to
- 20 work for West, those numbers would have been included in
- 21 your analysis, correct?
- 22 A I guess it depends upon the facts and
- 23 circumstances of when that happened versus what I did.
- 24 There is -- as I understand the way that SGS pulled the
- 25 data, I'm not aware of them pulling it with a further

- 1 A No.
- 2 Q So would it impact your analysis if several --
- 3 if approximately 50 of the West physicians included in the
- 4 86 didn't start working for West until after January 2012?
- 5 MS. SWEET: Objection.
- 6 THE WITNESS: I don't know.
- 7 BY MR. ROARK:
- 8 Q You don't know if it would impact your analysis
- 9 or not?
- 10 A No. You would have to give me the data, and I'd
- 11 have to see. I don't know.
- 12 Q Okay. Let me hand you what's been marked as
- 13 Exhibit 255.
- 14 (Exhibit No. 255 was marked for identification.)
- 15 BY MR. ROARK:
- 16 Q Which is Bates numbered GOV00356 through GOV477.
- 17 A No, 405.
- 18 Q You're saying the last page is 405?
- 19 A I think you said something like 477 or
- 20 something.
- 21 MS. SWEET: 455 is my last page. What is your
- 22 last page?
- 23 **THE WITNESS: 405.**
- MS. SWEET: Do you want to take a look at this?
- 25 THE WITNESS: I guess that's why we do that.

Page 91

Page 93 Page 95 A I don't know, one way -- there was no further 1 MS. BERGMAN: They're in groups of two. 2 THE WITNESS: 45, 46 -- 455. 2 exclusion than what we've already talked about. I don't 3 MS. SWEET: I double side my stuff, for the 3 know that there are claims in there. 4 record. Right. 5 THE WITNESS: Okay. I know I did 77 as well. 5 But I did not take any steps, nor does it appear 6 to me that SGS took any steps to cabin off particular NPIs. 6 MR. ROARK: Let us get Kara a copy also. 7 MS. SWEET: You handed me back the one. I had 7 SGS didn't limit the data they pulled by the 8 406 to 455 in that. 8 date that the physician worked for West? 9 THE WITNESS: This is good. I'm going to keep 9 I don't believe so. But again, that's -- I've 10 not gotten behind their process and seen their queries. 10 this. 11 MS. SWEET: I just need a full set. It's okay. 11 And I would represent to you that from having 12 THE WITNESS: Yeah, we're good. 12 reviewed Exhibit 254, I would represent that it does 13 include claims for Dr. Dubal prior to April 2014, but is 13 BY MR. ROARK: 14 that something that you could -- if you go through Exhibit 14 All right. So you've got Exhibit 255. It's a 15 254, that you could look up and see? 15 longer document, but have you seen a document like this 16 before? 16 A I'm sorry, just --17 Α No. 17 MS. SWEET: Objection. What are you asking? I It is titled, enrollment record data report. Do 18 don't think -- your representation is for the record. 18 19 you see that, at the top of the first page? 19 Notwithstanding, we don't have any information in the 20 A I do. 20 record, that I'm aware of, that talks about when Dubal 21 All right. It's a 122-page document, so it's a 21 Nilesh -- where he was assigned before April 19th, 2014. 22 long document. When a physician joined West Clinic, what 22 This is a reassignment. And there is a practice location 23 would it be necessary to do for that physician to be able 23 that's a different date. You haven't established anything, 24 to -- for West to be able to bill Medicare for services 24 I think, that would allow him to even give any testimony. 25 25 provided by that physician? If you want him to pull up the data, and take a Page 94 Page 96 1 look to see if he shows up there, we can -- anybody can do 1 I'm not an expert in the enrollment process. 2 2 that, if that's how you want to use this deposition. The data that you queried was for data that was 3 billed under the West Clinic NPI, is that right? 3 BY MR. ROARK: Again, not me, but SGS. 4 Q That's what I'd like, Mr. Petron, for you to do. 4 5 Q Okay. Thank you. The data that SGS gueried was 5 THE WITNESS: Pages? 6 under the West Clinic NPI, is that right? 6 MS. SWEET: 397. 7 BY MR. ROARK: 7 Correct. 8 8 Q And it's the NPI that's listed as 1447276605? My question is whether your analysis includes 9 Correct. 9 claims from Dr. Dubal prior to April of 2014? Q All right. And I want to refer to -- if you 10 Okay. And what data set do you want me to look 10 11 turn to the page that's Bates numbered 397. At the bottom 11 up? 12 of page 397, do you see the reference to Dr. Nilesh Dubal. 12 MS. BERGMAN: Look in the 2013 to '14. It 13 It's N-I-L-E-S-H, D-U-B-A-L? 13 should also be open. It should be the middle one. 14 A I do. 14 MS. SWEET: In the West data? 15 MS. BERGMAN: In the Methodist data. And it refers to -- it says, received 16 reassignment effective date, April 19th, 2014. Do you see 16 MS. SWEET: Okay. 17 THE WITNESS: This --17 that? 18 Α 18 MS. BERGMAN: That should be '13 to '14.

19

20

23

24

25 his NPI?

BY MR. ROARK:

22 Exhibit 256, an electronic copy of it.

Mr. Petron, this is the CMS claims file for

(Exhibit No. 256 was marked for identification.)

THE WITNESS: Do you know if this 144 number is

21 Methodist Healthcare data, 2013 to 2014. I'll mark it as

19

21

22

Do you know, one way or the other, when

I'm going to represent to you that it was on or

20 Dr. Dubal assigned his Medicare billing to West Clinic?

23 about April 19th, 2014. What I'd like to look at, then,

25 from your analysis prior to April 19th, 2014?

24 is, Mr. Petron, was -- were claims for Dr. Dubal excluded

Page 101 Q So as part of the review that you did, did you 1 put forth. 2 look at whether a particular claim resulted from any BY MR. ROARK: 2 3 alleged kickback or not? 3 Q So, Mr. Petron --A Again, I feel like we're into, like, legal 4 MS. SWEET: It's Petron, also. 5 argument about what did or did not happen with respect to a 5 BY MR. ROARK: 6 kickback, so I didn't perform any legal analysis. I mean, 6 Mr. Petron, Ms. Sweet is not the witness here 7 am I answering --7 today. Ms. Sweet is not testifying. I'm unclear as to the Q I'm not asking for legal analysis. I'm just 8 last answer that you gave. If I ask a question in a way 9 trying to understand what you did. Did you look at whether 9 that you don't understand what I'm asking, then please let 10 any of these claims -- did you attempt to connect that, or 10 me know, and I'll seek to clarify the question. 11 tie any of these claims to alleged improper payments that 11 Are you offering any testimony today about 12 the government alleges Methodist was paying to West? 12 the -- whether kickbacks allegedly paid by Methodist to 13 A I think that they all are. So maybe we're 13 West caused the submission of any of the claims in your 14 talking past each other. 14 summary? When you say that they all are, what do you mean 15 Α 15 I'm not. 16 by that? 16 Okay. Do you have any knowledge regarding what A Again, I'm not the lawyer, and I'm not making 17 payments any of these physicians received from West? 17 18 legal conclusions. It's my understanding that their 18 MS. SWEET: Objection, asked and answered. 19 relationship is what violates the law. And so all of the THE WITNESS: I do not. 19 20 claims are a result of the relationship. So therefore, 20 BY MR. ROARK: 21 that's the -- so they are all connected. There is no --21 Do you have any knowledge about whether any of 22 there is no claim that is not connected, because but for 22 these physicians were employed by West or were shareholders

Page 102

24

25

1 are as a result of the relationship? MS. SWEET: Right. He's not. He's not 2 3 testifying on that. We've objected. 4 MR. ROARK: Kara, you're now testifying. 5 MS. SWEET: No, but we've objected. 6 MR. ROARK: I'm asking him questions. And if you have an objection to the question --8 MS. SWEET: He's not being putting forth as a 9 30(b)(6) to talk about legal conclusions. MR. ROARK: He just testified to it. He just 10 11 testified fully about his view that all of the claims are 12 -- resulted from the allegations that you told him about. 13 I'm going to ask him all the questions I want about that. 14 MS. SWEET: No, he testified that -- what we all 15 know to be the case, is that the measure of damages in a 16 kickback case is the tainted claims. That's what he is 17 referring to. He is not talking about causation. He is 18 not a lawyer. It would be impermissible testimony to talk 19 about that, whether he was a fact witness or an expert 20 witness. He is not being offered for that purpose. We have objected to your topics. We have told 22 you that he has been offered to testify as a summary fact 23 witness on damages. That is what he is testifying to, the

24 claims. He is not talking about, at trial or here today,

25 the causation aspect of anything. That is not what we have

23 the illegal relationship -- you know, again, I don't want

Q So how are you saying that any of these claims

24 to get into legal things. That's not my --

Page 104 1 physicians were paid on an RVU basis, or paid on some other 2 grounds or basis?

I don't have specific information on that.

Do you have any information about whether these

with West, or what their status with West was?

Page 103

3 I think I read somewhere, at some point, 4 somebody was paid -- that doctors were paid on an RVU 5 basis. But again, that's just me reading background, me 6 reading interrogatories.

My question is, do you have knowledge about 7 8 that, beyond what you've read?

9 No, I do not. I had no personal knowledge. 10 As part of your summary, did you look at whether

11 any of these physicians were referring patients to

12 Methodist before the affiliation began?

13 MS. SWEET: Objection.

14 THE WITNESS: I don't have any of that 15 information in the summary.

16 BY MR. ROARK:

17 Okay. The summary that you prepared, Exhibit 18 250, that we've looked at, in arriving at those numbers, 19 was it necessary for you or your team to do any

20 extrapolation?

21 MS. SWEET: Objection. 22 THE WITNESS: No.

23 BY MR. ROARK:

24 Was it necessary for you to do any kind of 25 statistical analysis in deriving the numbers?

Case 3:17-cve111262BDenument 3Reporting 0862121ceSage 16151595agn 173#: 12774101...104 www.EliteReportingServices.com

Page 105

1 A No.

- 2 Q Would it be fair to say that for purposes of why
- 3 we are here today, as a 30(b)(6) witness, that the extent
- 4 of the work that you've done was to add up the dollar value
- 5 of certain claims according to parameters that were
- 6 provided to you by the government?
 - A I would agree.
- 8 Q And you didn't do anything to test or probe
- 9 whether those parameters were -- whether you agreed with
- 10 them or not. You accepted them and you used those
- 11 parameters, correct?
- 12 A If I -- if I'm -- I'll answer it this way, and
- 13 you tell me if it's not responsive, because I'm not sure.
- 14 I'm not providing an opinion here. I'm not providing an
- 15 opinion on whether or not the parameters of the assumptions
- 16 I used are accurate, or the correct ones, or incorrect
- 17 ones. I'm merely -- I'm merely using those as given to me.
- 18 Q I understand that you're not giving an opinion.
- 19 And I'm also trying to ask, you did not do work to test or
- 20 verify those parameters, correct?
- 21 A But test the parameters -- you can't test a
- 22 parameter.
- 23 Q You can test whether the 86 physicians that were
- 24 listed, whether they had ever been paid anything for work
- 25 that they were doing for Methodist. That's what I'm

Page 107

Page 108

- 1 refer to Methodist after they stopped working for West,
- 2 then those claims would still be included in your analysis,
- 3 correct?
- 4 A They would, to the extent they are in the
- 5 Methodist piece, but they, then, would not in the West 6 piece.
- 7 Q Okay. If they stayed in -- if they continued to
- 8 refer patients to Methodist even after they stopped working
- 9 at West, then those claims would be captured in the
- 10 Methodist claims data, correct?
- 11 A Isn't that exactly what I just said? I just
- 12 want to make sure I'm not mishearing you. You're just
- 13 confirming what I just said.
- 14 Q I'm seeking to confirm what you just said.
- 15 A Okay. I was, like, did I miss a word in there
- 16 somewhere?
- 17 Q No.
- 18 MS. SWEET: Brian doesn't try to trap you, as
- 19 best as I know.
- 20 BY MR. ROARK:
- 21 Q No tricks here. This is -- can tend to be
- 22 complicated, and I'm just trying to make sure I'm clear on
- 23 what you're saying.
- 24 A No, no, no, sorry I misunderstood. I heard it
- 25 back, and I was like, I think I just said that.

- 1 asking. Did you ever --
- 2 A You're asking if the parameters are correct.
- 3 Q Yes
- 4 A And I think I've said numerous times now, that
- 5 that is not what I'm doing.
- 6 Q Okay.
- 7 A I'm not -- I'm being given the parameters, and
- 8 I'm using those parameters.
- 9 MR. ROARK: Okay. Let's stop here and take a
- 10 break. And let me -- I'll look over at what else I have to
- 11 cover.
- 12 THE WITNESS: I've got a hard stop at 2 o'clock.
- 13 MR. ROARK: Understood. Let's go off the
- 14 record.
- 15 VIDEO OPERATOR: Going off the record at 12:23.
- 16 This is the end of media unit number 2.
- 17 (Recess.)
- 18 VIDEO OPERATOR: On the record at 12:40. This
- 19 is the beginning of media unit 3 in the testimony of
- 20 Michael Petron.
- 21 BY MR. ROARK:
- 22 Q To pick up from where we were before the break,
- 23 if one of the West physicians that's included in your list,
- 24 if one of those West physicians stopped working for West
- 25 prior to December 31st, 2018, if that doctor continued to

- 1 Q I think that just means I did a good job.
- 2 A So I'll repeat it back now, to be clear. If a
- 3 West doctor stopped working in this relationship for
- 4 Methodist or West, I'm not sure who they worked for. But
- 5 if they stopped working, and they went somewhere else. And
- 6 then they still went to Methodist as an attending, that
- 7 data would be in the first two pages of my exhibit, what I 8 think is 250.
- 9 Q Right. It would be captured under either
- 10 Methodist Part A outpatient or Methodist Part A inpatient?
- 11 A Correct, depending upon how Methodist billed.
- 12 Q We've talked at length about the list of 86
- 13 physicians that are the last three pages of Exhibit 250.
- 14 Do you know how the government came up with that list of
- 15 physicians?
- 16 A I do not.
- 17 Q We covered earlier -- we went through topic
- 18 number 2 in the 30(b)(6) notice. I want to make sure that
- 19 I understand and appreciate what you did to prepare to
- 20 testify today about topic number 2.
- 21 A Well, I answered earlier. It's the same answer,
- 22 which is, I reviewed some interrogatories. I reviewed the
- 23 complaint. I reviewed the data. I reviewed our code and
- 24 obviously Exhibit 250.
- 25 Q You did not talk to --

Page 117	Page 119
1 Q Right.	1 CONTENTS
2 A You'd probably make more mistakes than would be	2 MICHAEL PETRON
3 helpful, but someone with knowledge of computers and the	3 WITNESS EXAMINATION
4 software, whatever it is, SAS or STATA, SQL, Python,	4 by Mr. Roark 6
5 Access. I mean, I could just go on, but you have to know	5
6 those things to do it.	6
7 Q Okay.	7
8 A But the steps are here. That's why the	8 EXHIBITS
9 footnotes are here. So that someone knows what fields to	9 EXHIBIT NUMBER IDENTIFIED
10 use, what the parameters in those fields are, and then how	10 Exhibit 248 Notice of Deposition Michael
11 to match them. That's	11 Petron 7
12 Q That's what you've laid out in your report?	12 Exhibit 249 Rule 30(b)(6) objection 7
13 A That's what I've laid out. Again, I would call	13 Exhibit 250 GOV000891 Petron Summaries 15
14 it exhibits.	14 Exhibit 251 List of Excel Electronic 40
15 Q That's what you've laid out in Exhibit 250?	15 Exhibit 252 Electronic Excel Methodist
16 A Yes.	16 Healthcare and Methodist
17 MR. ROARK: All right. That's helpful. That's	17 Hospitals 2011-2012 43
18 all that I have.	18 Exhibit 253 Part A Report 44
19 MS. SWEET: Okay. Nothing.	19 Exhibit 254 Electronic West Clinic-PC data
20 VIDEO OPERATOR: Off the record at 12:57. This	20 from 2012 82
21 ends today's testimony.	21 Exhibit 255 GOV000356 Enrollment Record;
22 (Whereupon, at 12:57 p.m., the taking of the	22 Summary 92
23 deposition ceased.)	23 Exhibit 256 Electronic CMS Claims File for
24	24 Methodist Healthcare data
25	25 2013-2014 96
Page 118 1 I HEREBY CERTIFY that I have read this transcript of my	Page 120 1 CERTIFICATE OF NOTARY PUBLIC & REPORTER
<u> </u>	
1 I HEREBY CERTIFY that I have read this transcript of my	1 CERTIFICATE OF NOTARY PUBLIC & REPORTER
I HEREBY CERTIFY that I have read this transcript of my deposition and that this transcript accurately states the	1 CERTIFICATE OF NOTARY PUBLIC & REPORTER 2
I HEREBY CERTIFY that I have read this transcript of my deposition and that this transcript accurately states the states the testimony given by me, with the changes or corrections, if	1 CERTIFICATE OF NOTARY PUBLIC & REPORTER 2 3 I, SUSAN L. CIMINELLI, the officer before whom the
I HEREBY CERTIFY that I have read this transcript of my deposition and that this transcript accurately states the testimony given by me, with the changes or corrections, if any, as noted.	CERTIFICATE OF NOTARY PUBLIC & REPORTER 1 3 I, SUSAN L. CIMINELLI, the officer before whom the 4 foregoing deposition was taken, do hereby certify that the
I HEREBY CERTIFY that I have read this transcript of my deposition and that this transcript accurately states the stestimony given by me, with the changes or corrections, if any, as noted.	1 CERTIFICATE OF NOTARY PUBLIC & REPORTER 2 3 I, SUSAN L. CIMINELLI, the officer before whom the 4 foregoing deposition was taken, do hereby certify that the 5 witness whose testimony appears in the foregoing deposition
I HEREBY CERTIFY that I have read this transcript of my deposition and that this transcript accurately states the stestimony given by me, with the changes or corrections, if any, as noted.	CERTIFICATE OF NOTARY PUBLIC & REPORTER 1 3 I, SUSAN L. CIMINELLI, the officer before whom the 4 foregoing deposition was taken, do hereby certify that the 5 witness whose testimony appears in the foregoing deposition 6 was duly sworn; that the testimony of said witness was
I HEREBY CERTIFY that I have read this transcript of my deposition and that this transcript accurately states the testimony given by me, with the changes or corrections, if any, as noted.	CERTIFICATE OF NOTARY PUBLIC & REPORTER 1 J. SUSAN L. CIMINELLI, the officer before whom the 4 foregoing deposition was taken, do hereby certify that the 5 witness whose testimony appears in the foregoing deposition 6 was duly sworn; that the testimony of said witness was 7 taken in shorthand and thereafter reduced to typewriting by
I HEREBY CERTIFY that I have read this transcript of my deposition and that this transcript accurately states the testimony given by me, with the changes or corrections, if any, as noted.	CERTIFICATE OF NOTARY PUBLIC & REPORTER 1 3 I, SUSAN L. CIMINELLI, the officer before whom the 4 foregoing deposition was taken, do hereby certify that the 5 witness whose testimony appears in the foregoing deposition 6 was duly sworn; that the testimony of said witness was 7 taken in shorthand and thereafter reduced to typewriting by 8 me or under my direction; that said deposition is a true
I HEREBY CERTIFY that I have read this transcript of my deposition and that this transcript accurately states the testimony given by me, with the changes or corrections, if any, as noted. X	CERTIFICATE OF NOTARY PUBLIC & REPORTER 1 J. SUSAN L. CIMINELLI, the officer before whom the 4 foregoing deposition was taken, do hereby certify that the 5 witness whose testimony appears in the foregoing deposition 6 was duly sworn; that the testimony of said witness was 7 taken in shorthand and thereafter reduced to typewriting by 8 me or under my direction; that said deposition is a true 9 record of the testimony given by said witness; that I am
I HEREBY CERTIFY that I have read this transcript of my deposition and that this transcript accurately states the testimony given by me, with the changes or corrections, if any, as noted. X 8 9 10	CERTIFICATE OF NOTARY PUBLIC & REPORTER 1 J. SUSAN L. CIMINELLI, the officer before whom the 4 foregoing deposition was taken, do hereby certify that the 5 witness whose testimony appears in the foregoing deposition 6 was duly sworn; that the testimony of said witness was 7 taken in shorthand and thereafter reduced to typewriting by 8 me or under my direction; that said deposition is a true 9 record of the testimony given by said witness; that I am 10 neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the
1 I HEREBY CERTIFY that I have read this transcript of my 2 deposition and that this transcript accurately states the 3 testimony given by me, with the changes or corrections, if 4 any, as noted. 5 6 7 X 8 9 10 11 Subscribed and sworn to before me this day of	CERTIFICATE OF NOTARY PUBLIC & REPORTER 1
1 I HEREBY CERTIFY that I have read this transcript of my 2 deposition and that this transcript accurately states the 3 testimony given by me, with the changes or corrections, if 4 any, as noted. 5 6 7 X 8 9 10 11 Subscribed and sworn to before me this day of 12 , 20 .	CERTIFICATE OF NOTARY PUBLIC & REPORTER 1
I HEREBY CERTIFY that I have read this transcript of my deposition and that this transcript accurately states the testimony given by me, with the changes or corrections, if any, as noted. X Subscribed and sworn to before me this day of , 20 .	CERTIFICATE OF NOTARY PUBLIC & REPORTER 1 3 I, SUSAN L. CIMINELLI, the officer before whom the 4 foregoing deposition was taken, do hereby certify that the 5 witness whose testimony appears in the foregoing deposition 6 was duly sworn; that the testimony of said witness was 7 taken in shorthand and thereafter reduced to typewriting by 8 me or under my direction; that said deposition is a true 9 record of the testimony given by said witness; that I am 10 neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the 11 parties to the action in which this deposition was taken; 12 and, further, that I am not a relative or employee of any 13 attorney or counsel employed by the parties hereto, nor
1 I HEREBY CERTIFY that I have read this transcript of my 2 deposition and that this transcript accurately states the 3 testimony given by me, with the changes or corrections, if 4 any, as noted. 5 6 7	CERTIFICATE OF NOTARY PUBLIC & REPORTER 1
1 I HEREBY CERTIFY that I have read this transcript of my 2 deposition and that this transcript accurately states the 3 testimony given by me, with the changes or corrections, if 4 any, as noted. 5 6 7 X 8 9 10 11 Subscribed and sworn to before me this day of 12 , 20 . 13 14	CERTIFICATE OF NOTARY PUBLIC & REPORTER I, SUSAN L. CIMINELLI, the officer before whom the foregoing deposition was taken, do hereby certify that the witness whose testimony appears in the foregoing deposition was duly sworn; that the testimony of said witness was taken in shorthand and thereafter reduced to typewriting by me or under my direction; that said deposition is a true record of the testimony given by said witness; that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to the action in which this deposition was taken; and, further, that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel employed by the parties hereto, nor financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of this action.
1 I HEREBY CERTIFY that I have read this transcript of my 2 deposition and that this transcript accurately states the 3 testimony given by me, with the changes or corrections, if 4 any, as noted. 5 6 7	CERTIFICATE OF NOTARY PUBLIC & REPORTER 1
1 I HEREBY CERTIFY that I have read this transcript of my 2 deposition and that this transcript accurately states the 3 testimony given by me, with the changes or corrections, if 4 any, as noted. 5 6 7 X 8 9 10 11 Subscribed and sworn to before me this day of 12 , 20 . 13 14 15 16 X Notary Public	1 CERTIFICATE OF NOTARY PUBLIC & REPORTER 2 3 I, SUSAN L. CIMINELLI, the officer before whom the 4 foregoing deposition was taken, do hereby certify that the 5 witness whose testimony appears in the foregoing deposition 6 was duly sworn; that the testimony of said witness was 7 taken in shorthand and thereafter reduced to typewriting by 8 me or under my direction; that said deposition is a true 9 record of the testimony given by said witness; that I am 10 neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the 11 parties to the action in which this deposition was taken; 12 and, further, that I am not a relative or employee of any 13 attorney or counsel employed by the parties hereto, nor 14 financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of this 15 action. 16 17
1 I HEREBY CERTIFY that I have read this transcript of my 2 deposition and that this transcript accurately states the 3 testimony given by me, with the changes or corrections, if 4 any, as noted. 5 6 7	1 CERTIFICATE OF NOTARY PUBLIC & REPORTER 2 3 I, SUSAN L. CIMINELLI, the officer before whom the 4 foregoing deposition was taken, do hereby certify that the 5 witness whose testimony appears in the foregoing deposition 6 was duly sworn; that the testimony of said witness was 7 taken in shorthand and thereafter reduced to typewriting by 8 me or under my direction; that said deposition is a true 9 record of the testimony given by said witness; that I am 10 neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the 11 parties to the action in which this deposition was taken; 12 and, further, that I am not a relative or employee of any 13 attorney or counsel employed by the parties hereto, nor 14 financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of this 15 action. 16 17 SUSAN L. CIMINELLI
1 I HEREBY CERTIFY that I have read this transcript of my 2 deposition and that this transcript accurately states the 3 testimony given by me, with the changes or corrections, if 4 any, as noted. 5 6 7	I CERTIFICATE OF NOTARY PUBLIC & REPORTER I, SUSAN L. CIMINELLI, the officer before whom the foregoing deposition was taken, do hereby certify that the witness whose testimony appears in the foregoing deposition was duly sworn; that the testimony of said witness was taken in shorthand and thereafter reduced to typewriting by me or under my direction; that said deposition is a true record of the testimony given by said witness; that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to the action in which this deposition was taken; and, further, that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel employed by the parties hereto, nor financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of this action. SUSAN L. CIMINELLI SUSAN L. CIMINELLI
1 I HEREBY CERTIFY that I have read this transcript of my 2 deposition and that this transcript accurately states the 3 testimony given by me, with the changes or corrections, if 4 any, as noted. 5 6 7	I CERTIFICATE OF NOTARY PUBLIC & REPORTER 1 I, SUSAN L. CIMINELLI, the officer before whom the 4 foregoing deposition was taken, do hereby certify that the 5 witness whose testimony appears in the foregoing deposition 6 was duly sworn; that the testimony of said witness was 7 taken in shorthand and thereafter reduced to typewriting by 8 me or under my direction; that said deposition is a true 9 record of the testimony given by said witness; that I am 10 neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the 11 parties to the action in which this deposition was taken; 12 and, further, that I am not a relative or employee of any 13 attorney or counsel employed by the parties hereto, nor 14 financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of this 15 action. 16 17 18 SUSAN L. CIMINELLI Notary Public in and for the
1 I HEREBY CERTIFY that I have read this transcript of my 2 deposition and that this transcript accurately states the 3 testimony given by me, with the changes or corrections, if 4 any, as noted. 5 6 7 X 8 9 10 11 Subscribed and sworn to before me this day of 12 , 20 13 14 15 16 X 17 Notary Public 18 19 20 21 My commission expires:	I CERTIFICATE OF NOTARY PUBLIC & REPORTER 2 3 I, SUSAN L. CIMINELLI, the officer before whom the 4 foregoing deposition was taken, do hereby certify that the 5 witness whose testimony appears in the foregoing deposition 6 was duly sworn; that the testimony of said witness was 7 taken in shorthand and thereafter reduced to typewriting by 8 me or under my direction; that said deposition is a true 9 record of the testimony given by said witness; that I am 10 neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the 11 parties to the action in which this deposition was taken; 12 and, further, that I am not a relative or employee of any 13 attorney or counsel employed by the parties hereto, nor 14 financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of this 15 action. 16 17 18 SUSAN L. CIMINELLI Notary Public in and for the District of Columbia
I HEREBY CERTIFY that I have read this transcript of my deposition and that this transcript accurately states the testimony given by me, with the changes or corrections, if any, as noted. X S Subscribed and sworn to before me this day of 12 , 20 . 13 14 15 16	I CERTIFICATE OF NOTARY PUBLIC & REPORTER 1
I HEREBY CERTIFY that I have read this transcript of my deposition and that this transcript accurately states the testimony given by me, with the changes or corrections, if any, as noted. X S Subscribed and sworn to before me this day of 1	I CERTIFICATE OF NOTARY PUBLIC & REPORTER 2 3 I, SUSAN L. CIMINELLI, the officer before whom the 4 foregoing deposition was taken, do hereby certify that the 5 witness whose testimony appears in the foregoing deposition 6 was duly sworn; that the testimony of said witness was 7 taken in shorthand and thereafter reduced to typewriting by 8 me or under my direction; that said deposition is a true 9 record of the testimony given by said witness; that I am 10 neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the 11 parties to the action in which this deposition was taken; 12 and, further, that I am not a relative or employee of any 13 attorney or counsel employed by the parties hereto, nor 14 financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of this 15 action. 16 17 18 SUSAN L. CIMINELLI 20 Notary Public in and for the District of Columbia 22 23 My Commission Expires: November 30, 2026